

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bos. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,933	01/26/2004	Chih-Hung Su	ADTP0105USA	1932
27765	7590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			CANNING, ANTHONY J	
P.O. BOX 50 MERRIFIEL	D, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2879	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			エル
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,933	SU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony J. Canning	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 ħ	<u>//ay 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 17-26 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	e withdrawn from consideration		
Application Papers		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	-
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicate to the contract of t	ation No ved in this National Stage	•
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		I Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/707,933 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement of Amendment

1. The amendment to the instant application was entered on 10 May 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. In claim 11, the recitation that "the material has a plurality of organic/inorganic ratios, and each organic/inorganic ratio of the material has a different thickness" is unclear to the examiner. The examiner is unsure as to the meaning of the thickness of a ratio.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shi et al. (U.S. 5,811,177).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,933

Art Unit: 2879

7. As to claim 11, Shi et al. disclose an organic light emitting display, comprising: a substrate (see Fig. 3, item 10; column 2, lines 38-41); an organic light emitting unit on the substrate (see Fig. 1, item 13; column 2, lines 45-49), and a passivation layer covering the organic light emitting unit (see Fig. 3, items 22, 24 and 26; column 3, lines 40-52), the material has a plurality of organic/inorganic ratios, and each organic/inorganic ratio of the material has a different thickness (see Fig. 3, item 22, 24 and 26; column 3, lines 6-7, organometallics have organic/inorganic ratios, and an example is Alq; column 3, lines 54-63, silicon dioxide has an organic/inorganic ratio).

Page 3

- 8. As to claim 12, Shi et al. disclose the organic light emitting display of claim 11. Shi et al. disclose that the organic content is preponderant in a portion of the passivation layer adjacent to the organic light-emitting unit (see Fig. 3, item 22; column 3, lines 40-52; item 22 is the closest layer of the passivation layer, 22, 24 and 26 to the organic emitting layer 12).
- 9. As to claim 13, Shi et al. disclose the organic light emitting display of claim 11. Shi et al. further disclose that the inorganic content is preponderant in a portion of the passivation layer not in contact with the organic light-emitting unit (see Fig. 1, item 13; see Fig. 3, item 26; column 3, lines 40-52).
- 10. As to claim 14, Shi et al. disclose the organic light emitting display of claim 11. Shi et al. further disclose a thickness of the passivation layer is in a range of about 500 to 5000 angstroms (column 3, lines 15-25; column 4, lines 1-5; adding the layer thickness is **about** 5000 angstroms).
- 11. As to claim 15, Shi et al. disclose the organic light emitting display of claim 11. Shi et al. further disclose that the passivation layer includes SiO_xC_yH_z, SiN_xC_yH_z, or SiO_wN_xC_yH_z compounds (column 3, lines 54-63; SiO₂ falls within the claimed materials, since the subscribes

Art Unit: 2879

can be any real number including zero; the examiner interprets y and z to be zero and x to be 2; therefore silica fits the material listed first).

12. As to claim 16, the organic light emitting display device of claim 11. Shi et al. further disclose that the passivation layer has light transmittance in a range of about 40 to 90% (column 3, line 15; parylene is a polymer with transmittance between 40 to 90%).

Response to Arguments

- 13. The examiner acknowledges the amendment to claim 11.
- 14. The amendment to claim 11 does not clarify the examiner's confusion from the previous wording of the claim. Therefore the U.S.C. 112 2nd rejection is not withdrawn.
- 15. Shi et al. disclose various passivation layers, 22, 24 and 26 from figure 3. Layer 22 is an organometallic such as Alq (column 3, lines 26-28), and layers 24 and 26 can be silicon dioxide. Therefore there are a plurality of organic/inorganic ratios. Layer 22 is said to be 0.5-2 mils, while 24 and 26 are 0.05-10 microns.

Final Rejection

- 16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 17. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/707,933

Art Unit: 2879

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Canning whose telephone number is (571)-272-2486. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh D. Patel can be reached on (571)-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Canning O-18 July 2006

KGuharay 7/21/06